MINUTES SEX OFFENDER RESIDENCE BOARD Wednesday, November 9, 2016

Wednesday, November 9, 2016 City Hall, Room 310 2:30 p.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT: Ben Heiman, Renee Keehan, Heidi Michel

MEMBERS EXCUSED: Dean Gerondale, Kathy De Cremer

The meeting was called to order by Heidi Michel

1. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- (a) Approval of the September 14, 2016 Minutes of the Sex Offender Residency Board
- (b) Approval of the October 12, 2016 Minutes of the Sex Offender Residency Board meeting

Motion made by B. Heiman to approved the September 14, 2016 and October 12, 2016 Minutes, seconded by R. Keehan. All in favor. Motion carried.

2. APPEALS

(a) Appeal of Nathan Allen requesting to move to 325 14th Avenue

Nathan appeared by telephone from the Airway Heights Correction Center. H. Michel advised Nathan of his right to discuss treatment issues in closed session and informed him not to use the names of the victims.

In 2015, Nathan was convicted of third degree rape of a child, statutory, no force. He knew the victim. Nathan was 25 at the time and the victim was 15. At first, he did not know the victim was underage, however, due to a pregnancy scare, it was reported. The relationship started as a friendship. The crime occurred in the state of Washington.

Nathan is originally from Green Bay. He would like to return here to live temporarily with his mother. His earned released date is December 6, 2016.

Nathan completed S.O.T.P./ C.B.T. treatments while in prison. It was a ten month program. He learned a lot about himself -- his mentality and triggers. They discussed coping tools and how to stay healthy, mentally and physically. He's learned to listen and communicate.

Nathan may have an opportunity to work on a farm once he is released from prison.

Luann Wenninger, 1091 Weyers Road, Freedom, WI is present to speak on Nathan's behalf. Luann has known Nathan and his mother for 16 years. Nathan helped out at their home when her husband was out of town on business. Luann's husband is willing to help Nathan get a job if a position opens. She is willing to support and help Nathan in any way she can.

Christine Allen, 325 14th Avenue is present to speak on her son's behalf. She would like Nathan to live with her. He has a lot of friends in Green Bay who will offer support and help him look for a job.

Nathan has been in the state of Washington for five years. However, there was a six month lapse when he was extradited back to Wisconsin. He's maintained contact with his friends in Wisconsin.

Nathan will be on probation for 30 to 40 months.

A motion to APPROVE the appeal of Nathan Allen, address specific, for a period of <u>60</u> <u>days</u>, was made by R. Keehan, seconded by B. Heiman. All in favor. Motion carried.

(b) Appeal of <u>Henry Bloomfield</u> requesting to move to 1183 Harvey Street

Henry appeared in person. H. Michel advised Henry of his right to discuss treatment issues in closed session and informed him not to use the names of the victims.

Henry appeared before the board in August and was approved at this location for 90 days. He was asked to come back to report how things are going and if he's enrolled in a treatment program.

Henry stated he enrolled in a 60 week program with 16 weeks aftercare. He's attended 11 sessions so far. He is now employed full time at American Foods Group.

During treatment, they discuss triggers and how to cope with everyday situations. Henry's learned how to prevent himself from getting into unhealthy situations. He has people he can call who will be a positive influence. He is active in Circles of Support. Because of his work hours, he's in bed by 7:30 p.m.

Since being released, Henry has reunited with his daughter, sister and grandchildren.

A motion to APPROVE the appeal of Henry Bloomfield, address specific, was made by R. Keehan, seconded by H. Michel. All if favor. Motion carried.

(c) Appeal of <u>Eddie Broadnax</u>, <u>Jr.</u> requesting to move to 1100 N. Van Buren Street, Apt. 2

Eddie appeared in person. H. Michel advised Eddie of his right to discuss treatment issues in closed session and informed him not to use the names of the victims.

Eddie appeared before the board in September and was approved at this location for a period of 60 days. He is here today to discuss how things are going for him.

Eddie said things are going great. However, his current job is ending and he will have to look for another job. He has an interview on Friday. Eddie still attends the Options program for AODA treatment.

A motion to APPROVE the appeal of Eddie Broadnax, Jr., address specific, was made by R. Keehan, seconded by H. Michel. All if favor. Motion carried.

(d) Appeal of Kenneth Roeder requesting to move to 2934 Suzanne Court

Kenneth appeared in person. H. Michel advised Kenneth of his right to discuss treatment issues in closed session and informed him not to use the names of the victims.

Kenneth appeared before the board in September and was approved at this location for 90 days. He was asked to return to provide updated treatment information.

A motion is made by B. Heiman and seconded by R. Keehan to move into closed session to discuss Kenneth's treatment, pursuant to Section 146.82(1), Wis. Stats. All in favor. Motion carried. A motion is made by B. Heiman and seconded by R. Keehan to return to open session. All in favor. Motion carried.

Bob Roeder, 2934 Suzanne Court, is present on Kenneth's behalf. He is Kenneth's dad. They are very supportive of Ken. There is a lot of sorrow in their hearts regarding the victim. This may never change but they are hopeful it will some day. Kenneth lived in the neighborhood 10 years before the offense. Their neighbors like Ken. However, they don't see much of him because he sleeps during the day and works at night.

Connie Nelson, Sobieski, Wisconsin, is present on Kenneth's behalf. She is his oldest sister. She feels Kenneth is moving in the right direction and he has a great support system.

A motion to APPROVE the appeal of Kenneth Roeder, address specific, was made by B. Heiman, seconded by H. Michel. All if favor. Motion carried.

(e) Appeal of <u>Dawond Sample</u>, <u>Sr.</u> requesting to move to 1643 Birchwood Drive

Dawond appeared in person. H. Michel advised Dawond of his right to discuss treatment issues in closed session and informed him not to use the names of the victims.

Dawond clarified that he is a "Sr." not a "Jr." as indicated on the agenda. He appeared before the board last month, but it was held over until this month because he wasn't aware he needed to get a treatment evaluation. He was to contact his parole officer to set it up and return this month with information. Dawond provided a letter indicating he will be scheduled for an evaluation.

Dawond was released from jail September 6th. Because he has no family here, he would like to stay at this address with the mother of his significant other until he can afford his own place. Dawond is employed at Services Plus, full time, third shift.

A motion to APPROVE the appeal of Dawond Sample, address specific, for a period of 90 days, was made by B. Heiman, seconded by R. Keehan. All if favor. Motion carried.

The board would like him to come back with treatment documentation.

(f) Appeal of Philip Ross requesting to move to 1170 Harvey Street (upper)

Philip appeared in person. H. Michel advised Philip of his right to discuss treatment issues in closed session and informed him not to use the names of the victims.

Philip appeared before the board in October and was approved at this location for a period of 30 days. Philip is to provide treatment documentation from the civil incarceration facility and church groups where he worked.

Philip clarified that he was to provide documentation that he is no longer a threat to society. He's spent the last 30 days trying to get a copy of the evaluation from the psychiatrist. However, he found out it's sealed in a confidential file in the prison system. Even the probation officer could not obtain it. Philip has been out for almost 5 years. He is no longer on probation. He also tried to get information from the circuit court file.

Philip feels if he was a threat to the community, he wouldn't be sitting in front of the board today. Philip provided a letter from Troy Plos, a probation officer, which states he has met all conditions of his probation.

- H. Michel asked Philip if he is considered to be an SBN. Philip stated he's never heard these letters before and doesn't know what it means. R. Keehan asked if he still is on an ankle bracelet and Philip stated he is. It will stay on him as long as he lives in Wisconsin.
- R. Keehan asked if he was able to obtain letters from the ministries where he worked. Philip stated the first one no longer exists and the second ministry is in Ohio. Philip has written a couple letters to them but has not received a response.
- H. Michel checked CCAP and it shows Philip was discharged from a Chapter 980.

A motion to APPROVE the appeal of Philip Ross, address specific, was made by R. Keehan, seconded by B. Heiman. All if favor. Motion carried.

(g) Appeal of Melvin Pyatskowit requesting to move to 613 Hubbard Street

Melvin appeared in person. H. Michel advised Melvin of his right to discuss treatment issues in closed session and informed him not to use the names of the victims.

Melvin appeared before the board in August and was approved at this location for 60 days. He failed to appear at the October meeting. Melvin stated he forgot about it because his brother-in-law had a massive stroke and he had to help his sister. It completely slipped his mind. Also, his son's baby was born premature.

Melvin provided a letter from the ATTIC which indicates he's attended 14 sessions so far and has taken responsibility for his crime.

Melvin is looking for a job. He hopes his sister will help with his rent this month.

Melvin is on an ankle bracelet, but thinks if he's approved today, it can come off.

H. Michel asked Melvin if he's been drinking at all. Melvin stated he has not. He does not want to go back to prison. Occasionally, he goes to the 218 Club for support.

A motion to APPROVE the appeal of Melvin Pyatskowit, address specific, for a period of 60 days, was made by R. Keehan, seconded by B. Heiman. All if favor. Motion carried.

(h) Appeal of Randy Graham requesting to move to 426 Jefferson Street

Randy appeared in person. H. Michel advised Randy of his right to discuss treatment issues in closed session and informed him not to use the names of the victims.

Randy last appeared before the board in 2014. Since it's been a while, they asked him to recap the offense.

In 2003, Randy was convicted of second degree sexual assault of a 14 year old. He was drinking and had some teenagers over to watch videos. He touched the victim on the buttocks and her friend told the police. He received a seven year sentence (two in and five out). He is no longer on paper.

Randy would like to reside at the Transformation House. He's been there about a month now. He didn't realize he needed to come before the board to stay at this location.

H. Michel asked where he's been living since 2014. Randy stated he stayed in Ashwaubenon with his girlfriend for a while and also different motels.

Randy is on SSI and may have a part-time job at Good Will. He would eventually like to get his own apartment.

R. Keehan asked about his drinking. Randy said he has a disorderly conduct charge pending. If he is approved to stay at the Transformation House, he is required to take a breathalyzer test every night. H. Michel stated that CCAP indicates he was originally charged with a felony, but they've reduced it down to a misdemeanor. He is being charged as a repeater (domestic abuse). He stated he has no further contact with his girlfriend.

A motion to APPROVE the appeal of Randy Graham, address specific, for a period of 30 days, was made by R. Keehan, seconded by B. Heiman. All if favor. Motion carried.

R. Keehan wants to see what happens with his pending court case and if he has the job at Good Will.

(i) Appeal of <u>Harold Treadway</u> requesting to move to 1235-1/2 Cherry Street

Harold appeared in person. H. Michel advised Harold of his right to discuss treatment issues in closed session and informed him not to use the names of the victims.

Harold appeared before the board in May and was denied at 1620 Sixth Street because it was near Fireman's Park and he had only had six weeks of treatment.

Harold has been staying at different motels. He works at American Foods.

Harold provided a very positive and detailed letter from James Drake at Family Services regarding his treatment. Harold's learned about boundaries and putting up walls to avoid reoffending, having empathy for others, knowing the triggers that caused him to offend in the first place and staying away from certain situations and places. Some of his triggers include pornography, depression and finances. He is now more open and transparent with others. He has a great support network which includes his parole officer, James Drake, his mom and step-dad and several friends.

Harold stated he is no longer addicted to child pornography. His nine years in prison made him realize he didn't need it. His treatment has been reduced to once every two weeks. He has no idea how long he will be in treatment. He works Monday through Friday from 2:30 until midnight, and occasionally on Saturdays.

H. Michel pointed out that Dr. Drake wrote a very detailed letter and it sounds like Harold is doing what needs to be done.

Comments from the public:

Marsha, Harold's ex-wife is present at the meeting. Marsha has remarried, but has children with Harold. This location is one-half mile from her house and her son walks

past Harold's house every day to go to school. Next year her daughter will do the same. Marsha stated she does not know about Harold's treatment or what happened. Harold has no visitation with the children. It hasn't been brought up. She has sole custody. Her children do not know him. Her son is 11 and her daughter is ten. Harold was in prison nine years.

Marsha's son is autistic. He does not understand stranger/danger. Her daughter is bipolar and very friendly. Marsha's concern is that Harold would contact the children, but stated she knows nothing regarding his treatment.

Harold stated he does not even know what his children look like. They were infants the last time he saw them. Harold's parents do see the children a couple times a year. Marsha goes to their house when Harold is not there. Her friend lives across the street from Harold's mother.

Marsha has no problem showing Harold pictures of the children, but her priority is their safety. Marsha spoke with Harold's parole officer who suggested Marsha attend the meeting today.

R. Keehan asked Marsha if she is asking the board to deny Harold at this address. Marsha is just asking that they look at his treatment. She is not saying "yes" or "no." If they and the doctors feel he is doing okay, she does not have a problem with it.

Marsha was with Harold a long time and feels she knows who he is. However, he may have changed. This is the first time she's seen him in nine years. She is skeptical and that is why she is leaving the decision with the board. She's only known how he was in the past and does not know if he's changed.

R. Keehan asked Marsha if she's spoken with Harold's parents. She stated she has not. She just found out about this on the Police Department's Facebook page. Marsha does not talk to his parents about him. They just discuss the kids.

R. Keehan asked if her kids walk to school alone. Marsha stated her son does, but they are in the process of trying to get bussing.

Cheryl Taylor, 1507 Capitol Drive, Apt. 116, is present at the meeting. Cheryl is Harold's mother. He is court ordered not to see the kids or Marsha for 20 years. Cheryl stated Marsha did ask if she know where Harold was staying and if he wanted visitation with the kids. Cheryl feels Harold is doing great. He's not as upset as he used to be. He was depressed before he went to prison. Now he just works, eats and sleeps. Cheryl and Harold's step dad are behind him 100 percent. They love him dearly. He never caused trouble before this offense. Cheryl would like the board to give him a chance. He does have a restraining order for one of the children. He works hard and loves his job.

Harold is on supervision until 2027. He will be on an ankle bracelet until he finds a permanent residence.

R. Keehan asked Harold how Marsha's comments made him feel. Harold said he had no clue where they lived. His main goal is to just go to work, come home and live his life. He has no desire to see the kids because they don't know who he is and that would cause too many complications. He can have no contact with minors without his parole officer's approval. If he does, other adults will have to be present.

R. Keehan notes this residence is a three family building with only adults living there.

H. Michel stated she does feel people can change. What she is looking at is what Dr. Drake said. He said some very, very good and positive things. She hopes this helps Marsha. Marsha stated if the doctors said it, she's for it. She doesn't hold grudges and does believe people can change. She just wanted to give the board some facts.

A motion to APPROVE the appeal of Harold Treadway, address specific, for a period of six months, was made by R. Keehan, seconded by H. Michel. All if favor. Motion carried.

(j) Appeal of <u>Haisan Williams</u> requesting to move to 827 N. Broadway

Haisan appeared in person. H. Michel advised Haisan of his right to discuss treatment issues in closed session and informed him not to use the names of the victims.

Haisan appeared before the board in 2014. He was approved to live on Velp Avenue. He wants to eventually purchase this building. He will live upstairs and rent out the lower. Haisan has been working on these steps with his parole agent. It would not be an issue if the lower unit is rented out to someone with children. The current renter does have a minor child.

H. Michel asked him to recap his offense. Haisan stated at the age of 25 he sexually assaulted a 15 year old girl. They drove to an isolated area. Later, Haisan and his roommate burned her family's home. He served 17 years in prison and received a lot of treatment. The offense occurred in 1996. He was released in 2013. He did receive an informal four month sanction in January. He works full time selling tractor/trailer parts.

H. Michel asked Haisan what made him do what he did. Haisan said at that time he had a total disregard for anyone's rights. He set no boundaries for himself.

A motion to APPROVE the appeal of Haisan Williams, address specific, was made by R. Keehan, seconded by B. Heiman. All if favor. Motion carried.

3. **NEXT MEETING DATE**

The next meeting date of December 14, 2016 was confirmed.

A motion to adjourn was made by B. Heiman, seconded by R. Keehan. All in favor. Motion carried.